

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,797	07/10/2003	Norman B. Roberts	40304772	1919
	7590 07/11/200 WN, ROWE & MAW	EXAMINER		
1909 K STREE		PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Annii antian Na			
	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Community	10/615,797	ROBERTS ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alton N. Pryor	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 16-23,25-28 and 43-47 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 16-23,25,26,43-45 and 47 is/are allow 6) Claim(s) 27,28 and 46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, profix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 in the application. vn from consideration. red. election requirement.	secution as to the merits is i3 O.G. 213.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3 1/0 6 7/126/07; 5/7/07	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e		

Application/Control Number: 10/615,797

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27,28 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 27 and 28 recite the limitation "the unaged precipitate" and "the washed" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 27 and 28 recite the limitation "said solution" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 46 recites the limitation "hydrotalcite" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Hydrotalcite is aluminum based.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-21,23,24,26-39,48-56 will not remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (Synthesis and characterization of pyrourite, Applied Clay Science vol. 10, 1995, pp.5-19). Hansen teaches a composition comprising pyrourite [Mg-Fe (OH)(CO3)], which is free of aluminum. Hansen discloses

Art Unit: 1616

the instant ratio of Mg to Fe in pyrourite compounds. See Table 1 and 2. Since Hansen teaches the same pyrourite [Mg-Fe (OH) (CO3)] compounds as being instantly claimed, it is apparent that identical compounds would posses same chemical and physical properties including phosphate binding capacity. Hansen teaches a number of uses for the Mg-Fe compound including water purification, host for nutrients, hormonal and pesticidal. Of these uses, host for nutrients can be considered a pharmaceutical application. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ pyrourite compounds to host nutrients. One would have been motivated to do this because Hansen suggests this use.

Response to Applicants' Argument

The claims have been amended to recite a method of treating hyperphospataemia with instant Fe-metal compounds. Examiner agrees that the prior art
does not teach or suggest a method of treating hyperphospataemia with instant Femetal compounds.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,797

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616